

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,836	CHUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sharon Wen	1644

All Participants:

(1) Sharon Wen. (3) _____

(2) Sunhee Lee. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of allowability of claims 7-8, a telephone call was place on 11/26/2007 to Applicant's representative, Sunhee Lee, during which Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment and cancellation of claims 5-6 in order to place claims 7-8 in condition for allowance. Ms. Lee indicated on 11/30/2007, that Applicant would prefer to see the grounds for rejecting claims 5-6 thus have an opportunity to respond to those grounds. .